

From: Philip Van Cleave [philip@virginiashsystems.com]
Sent: Friday, June 03, 2005 3:53 PM
To: Dave *****
Cc: leadership@vcddl.org
Subject: Re: A summary of today's findings

Thanks for report, Dave. This confirms some of what I have heard from another source (about Burns and some other Congressmen) and some of it is new.

If you would, let me see the write up you are generating for Murtha when it is finished so I can proof it.

Until I have received a letter from DOI that the PRM is dead, I am going to consider it still viable. Indeed since I have not received that notification of the PRM's demise, they could revive it at will, I'm sure.

BTW, the Board has decided to not stand down and so we will be moving forward with pressure to adopt the PRM and fix the regulation.

It will be interesting to see if the ILA gives you any solid information. The ILA should equally concerned about stepping on our toes.

Keep in the loop as much as you can, Dave. Every bit of intel is important.

Philip

At 3:18 PM -0400 6/3/05, Dave ***** wrote:

>Philip,

>

>Today I called the offices of Congressman's Murtha and Goode
>along with Senator Allen.

>

>Goode: Spoke with David Jennings, I know him from the
>meeting with Hoffman. He listened and once again expressed
>support. He advised that Goode was not the only one in
>support of this, that ILA had gathered some others on the
>hill who would support this. He agreed to get back to me on
>what the best next step is.

>

>Murtha: He wrote the letter indicating that NPS / DOI was to
>give this petition a fair shake. They asked for a write up,
>which I will provide by next week.

>

>At this point, Rick ***** called me. He had good news, and
>bad news. First the good news. Senator Conrad Burns sits on
>the committee which holds the NPS purse strings, and his aide
>George Matejko has expressed the Senator's support to repeal
>the odious ban on weapons.

>

>Now the bad news. The petition was killed by Hoffman
>for "lack of a reason" to change the rules. This is a
>paraphrasing of what Hoffman told Matejko when he called.
>Apparently their thorough review was completed a long time
>ago and there wasn't sufficient motivation for them.

>

>I made contact with Senator Allen's aide Ron Ivey. I
>explained what happened, and he is having a subordinate meet
>with me to cover the events so far.

>

>I am given to understand from Rick that Senator Burns, and
>another senator who sits on the funding committee for NPS
>intend to notify Mr. Hoffman that they think reg 2.4 is a bad
>policy, and it should be changed, and await his response.

>

>I will try to get a hold of NRA-ILA's rep. to see if they
>will be forthcoming... in the interest of not stepping on
>their toes...

>Dave *****